

Proposal Title :	The Oaks North				
Proposal Summ	applies to an area Road, The Oaks (	This planning proposal is intended to amend Wollondilly Local Environmental Plan 2011, as it applies to an area of approximately 1.9 hectares in the south-western corner of 80 Silverdale Road, The Oaks (Part Lot 3 DP 1201486), and 1-22 Browns Road, The Oaks (Lots 1-22 DP 775993), as follows:			
	<ul> <li>amend the height</li> </ul>	zoning from ht of building ize from 40 he	RU2 Rural Landscape to I s to introduce a maximun		
	1-22 Browns Roa • amend the mini		from 700sqm to 1500sqm		
PP Number :	PP_2016_WOLLY	_005_00	Dop File No :	16/15141	
roposal Details			4		
Date Planning Proposal Receiv	23-Nov-2016 ed ::		LGA covered :	Wollondilly	
Region :	Metro(Parra)		RPA :	Wollondilly Shire Council	
State Electorate	: WOLLONDILLY		Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
ocation Details	3				
Street :	Part of 80 Silverdale Ro	ad			
Suburb :	The Oaks	City :	Wollondilly	Postcode : 2570	
Land Parcel :	Part Lot 3 DP 1201486		Na.+		
Street :	1-22 Browns Road				
Suburb :	The Oaks	City :	Wollondilly	Postcode : 2570	

# **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details**

Contact Name :	James Sellwood
Contact Number :	0298601559
Contact Email :	james.sellwood@planning.nsw.gov.au

# **RPA Contact Details**

Contact Name :	Nicole Aiken
Contact Number :	0246779746
Contact Email :	nicole.aiken@wollondilly.nsw.gov.au

# **DoP Project Manager Contact Details**

Contact Name :	Adrian Hohenzollern
Contact Number :	0298601505
Contact Email :	adrian.hohenzollern@planning.nsw.gov.au

# Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro South West subregion	Consistent with Strategy	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)	6.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	13
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο	≈ 2	
If Yes, comment :	To the best of Sydney Region We relation to communications and r		
Υ.	Sydney Region West has not met Director been advised of any met concerning this proposal.		
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	POLITICAL DONATIONS DISCLO	SURE STATEMENT	
110165 .	Political donations disclosure laws commenced on 1 October 2008. The legislation requires the public disclosure of donations or gifts for certain circumstances relating to the Planning system.		

e Oaks North			
		ents under the new legislation are triggered by the making of tions and relevant public submissions on such applications.	
	The term relevant planning	g application means:	
	- A formal request to the Minister, a council or the Secretary to initiate the making of an environmental planning instrument"		
		09 specifies that a person who makes a public submission to the quired to disclose all reportable political donations (if any).	
	The Department has not re	eceived any disclosure statements for this planning proposal.	
External Supporting	The planning proposal seeks to amend Wollondilly Local Environmental Plan 2011 to:		
Notes :	• enable the development of an area of approximately 1.9 hectares in the south-western corner of the site at 80 Silverdale Road, The Oaks to allow for a modest increase in housing for The Oaks village, in an area in close proximity to the existing village centre and identified for potential growth in Wollondilly Growth Management Strategy 2011; and		
	<ul> <li>also intends to protect the rural character of the northern edge of The Oaks by increasing the minimum lot size of residential land located at 1-22 Browns Road, The Oaks thus preventing future subdivision of the land.</li> </ul>		
equacy Assessme			
2			
Statement of the o	bjectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the c	bjectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The objective of the pla	anning proposal is as follows:	
	potential growth in the relatively close to exist • retain the rural charac	rease in housing for The Oaks village in an area identified for some Wollondilly Growth Management Strategy (GMS), which is ting services located in the village centre; and cter of the northern fringe of The Oaks village by increasing the sidential land located along Browns Road thus preventing future	
Explanation of pro	visions provided - s55(2	2)(b)	
	ovisions provided? Yes		
Comment :	The explanation of prov	visions is as follows:	
oonment.			
	<ul> <li>amend the land zonin</li> <li>amend the height of b</li> </ul>	corner of 80 Silverdale Road: g from RU2 Rural Landscape to R5 Large Lot Residential; buildings to introduce a maximum height of 9 metres; and om 40 hectares to a minimum lot size to be determined following	
	For 1-22 Browns Road: • amend the minimum I	: lot size from 700sqm to 1500sqm.	
Justification - s55 (	2)(c)		
a) Has Council's strate	gy been agreed to by the Dir	rector General? <b>No</b>	
b) S.117 directions ide		1.2 Rural Zones	
	or General's agreement	4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection	

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 44---Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55---Remediation of Land

SEPP (Sydney Drinking Water Catchment) 2011

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

## Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Although indicative maps showing the subject land have been provided, mapping amendments have not been prepared as yet.

#### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Council placed the planning proposal to rezone part of 80 Silverdale Road on preliminary notification with a proposed zoning of R2 Low Density Residential and a minimum lot size of 700sqm. During this notification period Council received six submissions objecting to the proposal.

Council also received a submission from Endeavour Energy which owns an easement for transmission lines across the northern border of the site, and the site is opposite The Oaks Zone Substation at 45 Silverdale Road, The Oaks. Endeavour Energy noted that although there is no evidence that Electromagnetic Field (EMF) exposure is detrimental to health, Council should adopt a policy of prudent avoidance to minimise exposure.

On 18 July 2016, Council resolved to support the planning proposal in a revised form, limiting the site to be rezoned to an area of approximately 1.9 hectares in the south-western corner of the site:

• with the northern boundary formed by the transmission line easement;

· changing the proposed zoning to R5 Large Lot Residential; and

• identifying that the minimum lot size should be determined through specialist studies to be undertaken following Gateway determination.

On 17 October 2016, following consultation with the residents of Browns Road, Council resolved to include 1-22 Browns Road in the planning proposal, and to amend the minimum lot size for the additional land to 1500sqm, no change was proposed to the zoning for this land. During consultation, Council received two submissions in support of this change.

Given the nature of the planning proposal, the Department proposes that the it be exhibited for a period of 28 days.

#### Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

## Proposal Assessment

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date : February 2011

Comments in relation Wollondilly Local Environmental Plan was made on 23 February 2011. to Principal LEP :

## **Assessment Criteria**

proposal:

Need for planning The planning proposal is the best means of achieving Council's intentions outcome.

With regards to the south-western corner of 80 Silverdale Rd, the current land zoning prohibits further development at the scale identified in the proposal.

With regards to 1-22 Browns Road, maintaining the existing scale of lots along this street into the future can only be achieved by setting a minimum lot size that more closely aligns with the existing subdivision pattern.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

#### SECTION 117(2) MINISTERIAL DIRECTIONS

The planning proposal is potentially inconsistent with the following section 117(2) directions:

- 1.2 Rural Zones
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments

Further investigation is required to establish whether the planning proposal is consistent with these directions, and if not how any inconsistencies are the be addressed.

Direction 5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments requires that "new development within the Sydney drinking water catchment must have a neutral or beneficial effect on water quality." An assessment should be undertaken to identify whether this can be achieved.

#### STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES

The planning proposal is potentially inconsistent with the following State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs):

SEPP No 44 — Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55 — Remediation of Land SEPP (Sydney Drinking Water Catchment) 2011

Further investigation is required to establish whether the planning proposal is consistent with these SEPPs, and if not how any inconsistencies are the be addressed.

#### A PLAN FOR GROWING SYDNEY

The planning proposal is consistent with both the directions and actions contained within A Plan for Growing Sydney.

The Plan seeks to accelerate housing supply across Sydney and although its focus is on areas of significant housing growth it also notes that all suburbs will need additional housing over the next 20 years.

Rezoning of the subject site will provide for a small increase of housing close to an existing residential centre.

#### DRAFT SOUTH WEST DISTRICT PLAN

The new draft South West District Plan, released in November 2016, identifies that most growth in the Wollondilly local government area will be focused on the Wilton New Town Priority Growth Area and the Greater Macarthur Priority Area.

The District Plan identifies that should the need for additional housing capacity be identified, that local opportunities be investigated to address demand and diversity in and around local centres and infill areas.

The District Plan also sets a housing target of 1550 for Wollondilly by 2021, this planning proposal will contribute albeit in a limited way towards that housing target, and the above goal.

WOLLONDILLY COMMUNITY STRATEGIC PLAN

The Oaks North	
	The planning proposal is consistent with the Wollondilly Community Strategic Plan 2033.
	WOLLONDILLY GROWTH MANAGEMENT STRATEGY
5	All planning proposals in Wollondilly Shire are assessed against Council's Growth Management Strategy, which sets long-term sustainable goals for growth in the local government area.
	The subject site was identified for potential growth in the Growth Management Strategy. The site is also in accordance with the Strategy in that it proposes growth close to the centre of an existing town and the infrastructure and services provided there.
Environmental social economic impacts :	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS
	Council identifies the following potential specialist studies and additional information required to support the planning proposal:
	<ul> <li>Study to address loss of agricultural production value of rural land;</li> <li>An updated Stage 2 contamination assessment;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Traffic and road safety audit;</li> <li>Geotechnical and salinity assessment;</li> <li>Stormwater and flood assessment;</li> <li>Noise and vibration study;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Bushfire assessment;</li> <li>Flora and fauna assessment;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Consultation with Office of Environment and Heritage to establish whether a European and Aboriginal Cultural Heritage and Archaeological Assessment is required;</li> <li>Consultation with Water NSW will be required to establish if a Neutral or Beneficial Effect on Water Quality Assessment (NorBe assessment) is required.</li> </ul>
4. 4.	With regard to the above, Council notes that although the subject site has been cleared, it is bordered to the east by natural vegetation, and proposes that a flora and fauna study be undertaken. Council has noted that the proposal seeks to rezone cleared land and uses the natural and physical constraints of the site as the boundary for residential development.
22	Response: The Department does not consider that a flora and fauna study is required for this planning proposal, as it is on a cleared part of the site, proposes large lot development, and would facilitate a relatively small lot yield (approx 13 lots).
	The Department does not consider that a European and Aboriginal Cultural Heritage and Archaeological Assessment is required at this stage. Council should follow the Office of Environment and Heritage's 'Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in NSW' with regard to the planning proposal, and any subsequent development applications for the site.
	As noted under 'Section 117(2) Directions' above, the planning proposal needs to be assessed against Direction 5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments which requires that "new development within the Sydney drinking water catchment must have a neutral or beneficial effect on water quality." As such it is not necessary to consult with Water NSW regarding whether such an assessment is required. A NorBE assessment is required, and consultation with Water NSW should be undertaken following this assessment to establish whether the assessment is adequate.
	Additionally, as the planning proposal is site specific and will have a relatively small footprint it is considered unnecessary to undertake a study to address the loss of agricultural production value of rural land.
	SOCIAL IMPACTS

# SOCIAL IMPACTS

Council notes that further consideration of the social impact the planning proposal is

## The Oaks North required. This should consider any impact or increased demand the future residential development may have on social infrastructure in the village including the capacity of the local school, local community facilities, child and youth services, services for older people, and community safety. Response: The Department concurs with Council's proposed approach in relation to social impacts. **Assessment Process** Proposal type : Routine **Community Consultation** 28 Days Period : RPA Timeframe to make 12 months Delegation : LEP : Public Authority Office of Environment and Heritage Consultation - 56(2)(d) **NSW Rural Fire Service** Sydney Water • Other Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No (2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes If no, provide reasons Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional studies, if required. : Other - provide details below If Other, provide reasons : The following studies are required to be undertaken • Updated Stage 2 Contamination Assessment; Traffic and Road Safety Audit; · Geotechnical and Salinity Assessment; Stormwater and Flood Assessment; Bushfire Assessment Report; Noise and Vibration Study; and • Neutral or Beneficial Effect (NorBe) on Water Quality Assessment. Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No It is expected this rezoning will create approximately 13 lots. This is not considered to If Yes, reasons 🗄 meet the threshold for state infrastructure contributions. Documents DocumentType Name Is Public **Document File Name Proposal Covering Letter** Yes 1 - Cover Letter.pdf Yes Proposal 2 - Council Report and Resolution - 80 Silverdale Road.pdf

Proposal

3 - Council Report and Resolution - 1-22 Browns

Road.pdf

Yes

4 - Planning Proposal - `	The Oaks North.pdf	Proposal	Yes
nning Team Recomr	nendation		
Preparation of the planning	ng proposal supported at this stag	e : Recommended with Conditior	IS
S.117 directions:	<ol> <li>1.2 Rural Zones</li> <li>4.3 Flood Prone Land</li> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Prot</li> <li>5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Ca</li> </ol>		
Additional Information :		ation, Council is to undertake the ing proposal to reflect the finding	
	Updated Stage 2 Contaminat	ion Assessment;	
	<ul> <li>Traffic and Road Safety Aud</li> </ul>		
	Geotechnical and Salinity As		
	<ul> <li>Stormwater and Flood Asses</li> <li>Bushfire Assessment Report</li> </ul>		
	Noise and Vibration Study; a		
		NorBe) on Water Quality Assessn	nent.
		sal and supporting studies are to prior to the commencement of co	
	2. Prior to community consult	ation, Council is to amend the pla	anning proposal to address
	the draft South West District F Commission on 21 November	Plan, which was released by the G 2016. <sub>-</sub>	Breater Sydney
	_	ation, Council is undertake furthe following section 117 Directions	
	• 1.2 Rural Zones;		
	<ul> <li>4.3 Flood Prone Land;</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Pro</li> <li>5.2 Sydney Drinking Water C</li> </ul>		
		e amended to indicate whether th ot how any inconsistencies are to	
		ation, Council is undertake furthe	
	-	following State Environmental P	
		g Policy No 44 — Koala Habitat F	
5		g Policy No 55 — Remediation of g Policy (Sydney Drinking Water	
		e amended to indicate whether th how any inconsistencies are to b	
	5. Community consultation is	required under sections 56(2) an	d 57 of the Act as follows:
		be made publicly available for 2	
		rity must comply with the notice	
		ng proposals and the specificatic Iblicly available along with plann	
	-	e Department's 'A Guide to Prep	
	-	th the following public authorities quirements of section 117 Directi	

The Oaks North	
	• Office of Environment and Heritage; • Rural Fire Service; • Sydney Water; • Water NSW; and
	• Endeavour Energy.
а	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment.
	Should the public authorities require any additional information, or specify any additional matters to be addressed, the planning proposal is to be updated to respond to any such submission, a copy of which is to be included with the updated planning proposal.
	7. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	8. The timeframe for completing the amending Local Environmental Plan is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is supported as it will provide a small increase in large lot residential development close to the existing town centre of The Oaks.
Signature:	Alis
Printed Name:	Adra Hohenzollen Date: 6/12/16